

SOURCES UNACCEPTABLE for DCW APPLICATION PAPERS¹

1. Manual annotations: Printed materials bearing handwritten annotations as proof are not acceptable. This is especially true of family genealogies that may not have included all facts at the time of original publication (such as maiden names of some wives) and which someone then writes it in, thinking such as an "improvement" to the published item will be acceptable.

If it is necessary to correct a published account, full supporting proof must be included for examination by the National Registrar. Simply writing in previously unrecorded maiden name of a wife or a child not known through previous records is not sufficient. The records showing the need for the correction must be submitted.

2. Armstrong, Zella. *Notable Southern Families*. (Lacks source citations and has been superseded by newer research in many instances.)
3. Blakemore. *The Blakemore Family and Allied Lines*. (Lacks source citations, leading to erroneous identifications. Virginia and Maryland families are very tangled.)
4. Boddie, John Bennett. *Historical Southern Families*. (Use only as a guide in starting research. Few of the families in this series were researched by Boddie himself, and even some he did personally have been substantially revised by modern research.)
5. Boykiw [sic]. *The Goble Family Descended from Thomas Goble of Charleston, Massachusetts*. (Lacks adequate source citations for its statements.)
6. Brockman. *The Brockman Family in America and The Brockman Scrapbook*. (Both lack source citations and confuse Virginia and Maryland individuals.)
7. Browning. *Some Colonial Dames of Royal Descent* and other writings. (All publications by this man should be considered highly suspect. Poorly researched.)
8. Colonial Dames of America. *Ancestral Records and Portraits*. (Poorly researched and far too speculative. Modern research has shown many errors.)
9. Crozier, William Armstrong. *Virginia Heraldica*. (Outdated and lacking in source citations, leading to erroneous identifications.)
10. *DAR Patriot Index*. (Lacks source citations. Same applies to lineage books of other societies.)
11. Davis. *Billingsley Family in America*. (Insufficient source citations to support statements made and suspicion of invention surrounds some lines of descent alleged in the book.)
12. Faris. *Plantagenet Ancestry of Seventeenth Century Colonists*. (Not that applicable to seventeenth century lineages in the colonies as it is less concerned with descent of families in the colonies than with their English ancestries.)

¹ Reprinted from "Seventh Century Review", 2004, Volume 46, No. 2, Page 1.

13. Gulick. *Gulicks of the USA*. (Poorly researched and lacking adequate source citations, often leading to erroneous identifications.)
14. Hardy, Stella Picket. *Colonial Families of the Southern States of America*. (Outdated and undocumented, often superseded by newer research. For an examination and discussion of Ms. Hardy's methodology, please see Warren L. Forsythe, "Resolving conflict between Records: A Spurious Mosely Bible", *The National Genealogical Society Quarterly* 84 (3) September 1996: 182-189.
15. Heigrad. *The Freer Family*. (Lacks adequate source citations for its statements.)
16. Holmes. *Directory of Ancestral Heads of New England Families 1620-1700*. (Lacks proper source citations and superseded in many instances by more current research, especially the Great Migration Project)
17. Langston and Buck. *Pedigrees of Some of Emperor Charlemagne's Descendants*. (Lacks source citations and has been superseded by new research in many instances.)
18. Lester, Memory Aldredge. *Old Southern Bible Records*. (Imperfect transcriptions and lack of provenance for many of the "records" in this book raise questions about the validity of these "Bibles".
19. Lillard. *The Stout Family*. (Lacks adequate source citations for its statements.)
20. Mann. *Camp-Kemp Family History*. (Information on the alleged immigrant Thomas Camp provided by Judge Zelma Price, who is implicated in the Ervin Bible Forgeries.)
21. McKenzie. *Colonial Families of the United States of America*. (Outdated and undocumented and superseded by newer research.)
22. Miller. *Our Family Circle*. (Lakes adequate source citations for its statements.)
23. Moore, Carolina T. *Abstracts of Wills of the State of South Carolina*. For decades this series has been the standard reference for South Carolina wills. Please read, "Check the Original! Two lessons learned the Hard way". *National Genealogical Society Quarterly* 90 (1) March 2002.

The Society will no longer accept the abstracts from this series as proof. It will be necessary to obtain copies of the full-text originals from the South Carolina State Archives or the individual county courthouses.

24. *Pioneers of the Wiregrass*. (This series must be used with much caution. While often containing useful information, the lack of source citations seriously limits the value of the series as a whole.)
25. No application to another society is acceptable. Use the information, provide the proofs.